#### Discussions and conversations

Random observations during discussions and conversations and reflections on life as a person throw light on events and issues.

### Personal experiences

Very often researchers see evidence of some behaviour pattern in their

Sometimes the investigators get a feeling from inside that certain phenomena are correlated. The suspected correlation leads the investigator to hypothesise a relationship and conduct a study to see if his/her suspicions are confirmed. For example, living in a hostel for a few years gives an idea to the hostler that "lack of control leads to deviant behaviour". He/she therefore decides to study hostel sub-culture.

Hypothesis can be deducted from theory itself, i.e., theory points out the direction of research. For example, a hypothesis may be deduced from Frustration-Aggression Theory that "preventing children from reaching desired goals (frustrations) will result in (their) aggressive behaviour".

#### FUNCTIONS OR IMPORTANCE OF HYPOTHESES

Sarantakos (1998:137) has pointed out following three functions of hypotheses:

- to guide social research by offering directions to the structure and operation;
- to offer a temporary answer to the research question; and
- 3. to facilitate statistical analysis of variables in the context of hypothesis testing.

The importance of hypotheses can also be pointed out in followthat going illingated passeried girls has ing terms:

1. Hypotheses are important as tools of scientific inquiry/research because they are derived from theory or lead to theory. The relationship expressed in the hypothesis tells the researcher how to conduct inquiry, what types of data need to be collected and how are the data to be analysed. Suppose we take three hypotheses: H<sub>1</sub>,

- $H_2$  and  $H_3$ . We say, if  $H_1$  is true,  $H_2$  will also be true but  $H_3$  will not be true. Then, we test  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ . If  $H_2$  is found true and  $H_3$  not true,  $H_1$  will be confirmed.
- 2. The facts (in hypotheses) get a chance to establish the probable truth or falsify it. A problem really cannot be scientifically solved if it is not reduced to hypothesis form because a problem is a question of a broad nature and in itself, not directly testable. One does not test the question but one tests relationship between two variables.
- 3. Hypotheses are tools for the advancement of knowledge as they stand apart from man's values and opinions.
- 4. Hypotheses help the social scientists to suggest a theory that may explain and predict events. Though more often research proceeds from theories to hypotheses, occasionally the reverse is true.
- 5. Hypotheses perform a descriptive function. The tested hypothesis tells us something about the phenomenon it is associated with. The accumulation of information as a result of hypothesis testing reduces the amount of ignorance we may have about why a social event occurs a given way.

In a nutshell, the main functions of hypotheses are: (i) to test theories, (ii) to suggest theories, and (iii) to describe social phenomena. The secondary functions are: (a) to help in formulating social policy, say, for rural communities, penal institutions, slums in urban communities, educational institutions, solutions to various kinds of social problems; (b) to assist in refuting certain 'common sense' notions (e.g., men are more intelligent than women); and (c) to indicate need for change in systems and structures by providing new knowledge.

#### TESTING HYPOTHESES

For testing a hypothesis, we have to define the concepts (used in the hypothesis) in a measurable way. For example, "genius often lead unhappy married life" is not a testable hypothesis unless it is defined on an empirical level, i.e., in terms of intelligence quotient (IQ) and characteristics/indicators of happy/unhappy married life. If we say "higher the IQ of a person, more the marital conflicts in his family", by measuring the IQ and the conflicts, we can test the hypothesis. No wonder, scholars talk in favour of quantitative measurement of variables in a hypothesis as quantification eliminates vagueness.

When concepts in the hypothesis are abstract and it is difficult to

measure them, how can one be sure that one's measure of the concept is error-free?

# Classical approach

Bailey (1982:53) has suggested the classical approach to hypothesis construction and testing. This approach consists of three stages: the first is the conceptual stage, the second is the empirical stage, and the third is gathering data and analysing it. In other words, the first stage is of defining the concepts/variables and writing a proposition stating a relationship between them. The second stage includes writing a testable hypothesis that links the empirical measures of the two concepts. The third stage is of verifying the hypothesis on the basis of collected data and analysing it. Thus, the hypothesis "geniuses often lead unhappy married life" is the first stage of conceptual level. In the second stage it is expressed in terms of empirical measures, i.e., "higher the IQ of a person, higher the marital conflicts in his family". In the third stage, by measuring the IQ and assigning scores to different IQ levels (say, less than 80, 81-90, 91-100, 101-110, 111-120, 121-130 and more than 130) and measuring the number of conflicts in a year (say, less than 4 conflicts, 4-6 conflicts, 7-9 conflicts, 10-12 conflicts, and more than 12 conflicts) and assigning scores to conflicts, the hypothesis can be verified. Here, happiness is measured only in terms of one variable. viz.. marital conflicts. But one can take many variables and assign scores to each one of them. For example, number of conflicts, enacted role being in conformity to expected role, finding time to spend with partner, taking interest in friendship associations company and the educational career of children, occasionally visiting friends and relatives with spouse, and so forth. Giving two scores to each indicator of marital happiness, we can calculate the total scores secured by the respondent and measure his level of marital happiness. By relating the scores secured in IQ test, we can determine whether the hypothesised relationship (higher the IQ, lesser the happiness) exists or not. We may not find any relationship between the two or if the relationship is positive, it could be strong or weak. Here the researcher has also to show that it is not the high IQ in itself which leads to marital conflicts but the high IQ makes the person to remain more committed to work roles because of which he neglects the roles in his home, affecting relations with wife and children and creating marital conflicts too.

The reasons for failure of hypothesis could be many: (1) the stated

hypothesis may be simply incorrect; (2) proposition in the first stage may be correct but in the second stage may be incorrect; (3) there may be measurement error; (4) the sample on which the hypothesis was tested may be inadequate; and (5) the respondents selected may be wrong people. A hypothesis that is designed to be revised, if necessary, on the basis of findings is called a working hypothesis.

## Hypothetico-deductive method

Singleton and Straits (1999:53-58) have referred to the hypothetico-deductive method in testing hypothesis. This method involves three steps: the first is forming a hypothesis, the second is deducing consequences from the hypothesis and the third is making inferences about the hypothesis on the basis of one's observations. We can take the example of Durkhiem's work on suicide where he says: "higher the social solidarity, lower the suicide rate". He has analysed social solidarity among married persons and divorced/widowed persons, childless persons and persons with children, city dwellers and rural people, and so on. This is how Durkheim tests his hypothesis through hypothetico-deductive method.

# I step (proposing hypothesis)

If the social solidarity in one group is higher than in another, then its suicide rate will be lower (hypothesis).

# 2nd step (deducing consequences from the hypothesis)

Social solidarity is higher among married people than among widowed or divorced persons.

# 3rd step (making inference on the basis of observations)

The suicide rate is lower among married people than among widowed or divorced persons (observed fact).

Explaining facts in this fashion indicates that we have a legitimate

hypothesis, which does not necessarily mean that it is true.

Let us take one more illustration on hypothesis testing in a research on widows. Suppose a woman becomes a widow at a very young age (say, within a year or so after marriage at the age of 22-23 years). She faces two problems: one of bereavement and other of ex-

ploitation by in-laws. How does she adjust herself in the new situation? Her adjustment will depend on: (1) the functioning of social structures in which she lives and works, i.e., help and barriers she faces in renewing, redeeming, restoring, reviving and revitalizing her life; (2) her social background (age, education, value orientation, job, etc.): (3) dependence on traditional support networks (i.e., in-laws, parents, office colleagues, neighbours, kin, peers, etc.); (4) gender-specific support system, i.e., help she gets from brother-in-law, father-in-law, brother, father, male kin, etc., in 'service supports', say, help in shopping, transportation, sick-care, house-repairs, legal aid, etc.; (5) her own self-image and self-esteem (timid, docile, courageous, bold, extrovert, etc.); and (6) her substitute attachments, i.e., whether she diverts her attention to job, social work, music, art, religious work, and so on.

On this basis, the four factors which impede a widow's adjustment are: (1) low self-esteem, i.e., feeling of helplessness, timidness. inferiority complex; (2) absence of new attachment; (3) economic de-

pendence; and (4) lack of emotional support.

We can now propound a hypothesis on widows' adjustment process: "higher the psycho-socio-economic impediments, lower the adjustment of widows." Another hypothesis could be: "impact of women's bereavement grief and protection from exploitation are directly related to forming substitute attachments", i.e. "higher the substitute attachments, lower the exploitation and bereavement grief." These hypotheses may be taken in the form of inductive conclusions in a spirit of tentativeness. By deducing consequences from the hypotheses, their validity can be checked. This will be hypothetico-deductive method. The logic of confirming hypothesis through hypothetico-deductive method is that: (a) if the hypothesis is true, then the predicted fact is true; and (b) since the predicted fact is true, therefore the hypothesis is true.

In the above example, the hypothesis is: "higher the substitute attachments, lower the exploitation and bereavement grief or higher the adjustment of a widow." The consequence is: "adjustment is higher among those widows who have resources of education, support (economic, emotional and social), attachments, and modern values." The observed fact will be: adjustment would be higher among widows who have 'resources' than among widows who lack resources.